REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE BATH COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2004 TAXES

May 20, 2005



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE 502.573.0050 FACSIMILE 502.573.0067

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE BATH COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2004 TAXES

May 20, 2005

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2004 Taxes for Bath County Sheriff as of May 20, 2005. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$2,468,241 for the districts for 2004 taxes, retaining commissions of \$96,256 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$2,367,800 to the districts for 2004 Taxes. Taxes of \$666 are due to the districts from the Sheriff and refunds of \$389 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comment:

The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2004 TAXES	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	9
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON	
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	13



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor
Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Walter Shrout, Bath County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randall Armitage, Bath County Sheriff
Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Bath County Sheriff's Settlement - 2004 Taxes as of May 20, 2005. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Bath County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Bath County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of May 20, 2005, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated September 19, 2005, on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor
Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Walter Shrout, Bath County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randall Armitage, Bath County Sheriff
Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed -September 19, 2005

BATH COUNTY RANDALL ARMITAGE, COUNTY SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2004 TAXES

May 20, 2005

CI.	0	. T	T	Special	a	1 1 <i>T</i> C	C.	. T
<u>Charges</u>	Cot	inty Taxes	<u> 1a</u>	xing Districts	Sc	hool Taxes	Sta	ite Taxes
Real Estate	\$	244,915	\$	638,973	\$	776,730	\$	305,561
Tangible Personal Property		10,279		25,566		33,187		38,064
Intangible Personal Property								15,369
Increases Through Exonerations		666		1,827		2,145		2,617
Franchise Corporation		68,052		179,881		218,312		
Bank Franchises		31,678						
Penalties		2,684		7,060		8,513		3,398
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		(487)		(1341)		(1559)		(612)
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff	\$	357,787	\$	851,966	\$	1,037,328	\$	364,397
Gross Clangedob to Sherin	Ψ	337,707	Ψ	021,700	Ψ	1,037,320	Ψ	201,277
Credits								
Exonerations	\$	2,652	\$	7,051	\$	8,411	\$	3,308
Discounts		3,469		9,013		11,012		4,946
Delinquents:								
Real Estate		11,288		30,287		35,801		14,084
Tangible Personal Property		195		429		629		651
Intangible Personal Property						.		11
Total Credits	\$	17,604	\$	46,780	\$	55,853	\$	23,000
Taxes Collected	\$	340,183	\$	805,186	\$	981,475	\$	341,397
Less: Commissions *	Ф	14,745	Ф	27,455	Ф	39,259	Ф	341,397 14,797
Less. Commissions		14,743		21,433		39,239		14,/9/
Taxes Due	\$	325,438	\$	777,731	\$	942,216	\$	326,600
Taxes Paid		325,193		776,207		940,557		325,843
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)		456		1,270		1,425		757
Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff)				**				_
as of Completion of Fieldwork	\$	(211)	\$	254	\$	234	\$	0

^{*} And ** See Page 4

BATH COUNTY RANDALL ARMITAGE, COUNTY SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2004 TAXES May 20, 2005 (Continued)

* Commissions:

10% on \$ 10,000 4.25% on \$ 1,097,741 4% on \$ 1,166,564 1% on \$ 193,936

** Special Taxing Districts:

Special running 2 is the tist	
Library District	\$ (12)
Health District	183
Extension District	(7)
Watershed District	(1)
Soil Conservation District	103
Fire District	146
Ambulance District	 (158)
Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff)	\$ 254

BATH COUNTY RANDALL ARMITAGE, COUNTY SHERIFF NOTES <u>TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT</u>

May 20, 2005

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of May 20, 2005, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name.

BATH COUNTY RANDALL ARMITAGE, COUNTY SHERIFF NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT MAY 20, 2005 (Continued)

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

A. Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2004. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2005. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was October 29, 2004 through May 20, 2005.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Bath County Sheriff earned \$1,094 as interest income on 2004 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder will be used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of September 19, 2005, the Sheriff owes \$12 in interest to the school district.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Bath County Sheriff collected \$17,608 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.430(3). This amount will be used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 6. Advertising Costs And Fees

The Bath County Sheriff collected \$177 of advertising costs and \$175 of advertising fees allowed by KRS 424.330(1) and KRS 134.440(2). The Sheriff distributed the advertising costs to the county as required by statute, and the advertising fees will be used to operate the Sheriff's office.



BATH COUNTY RANDALL ARMITAGE, COUNTY SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

As of May 20, 2005

INTERNAL CONTROL - REPORTABLE CONDITIONS:

The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

The Sheriff's Office lacks a proper segregation of duties. Due to the entity's diversity of official operations, small size and budget restrictions, the County Sheriff has limited options for establishing an adequate segregation of duties. We recommend that the following compensating controls be implemented to offset this internal control weakness:

- The Sheriff should periodically compare daily tax collections totals to receipts ledger and deposit slip.
- The Sheriff should periodically compare the bank reconciliation to the balance in the checkbook. Any differences should be reconciled.
- The Sheriff should agree monthly tax reports to receipts ledger and disbursements ledger.

These reviews should be indicated with the Sheriff's initials.

Sheriff's Response:

There is a lack of help in the office and no funds to hire additional help.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Walter Shrout, Bath County Judge/Executive Honorable Randall Armitage, Bath County Sheriff Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Bath County Sheriff's Settlement - 2004 Taxes as of May 20, 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated September 19, 2005. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Bath County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. A reportable condition is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the reportable condition described above is not a material weaknesse.



TELEPHONE 502.573.0050

FACSIMILE 502.573.0067

Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Bath County Sheriff's Settlement -2004 Taxes as of May 20, 2005 is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and the Kentucky Governor's Office for Local Development, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - September 19, 2005